## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

John Robert Demos, Jr., #287455,	)
Petitioner,	) C.A. No. 8:10-892-HMH-BHH
VS.	OPINION & ORDER
The U.S.A.; The State of Washington; The President of the U.S.A.; The Governor of the State of Washington; The Washingto State Attorney General; The Immigration & Naturalization Director; The Dept. of Homeland Security; The Superintendent of Stafford Creek; The U.S. Secretary of the Dept. of the Interior; The U.S. Asst. Secretary for Public Land Management;	
The Commissioner of Indian Affairs,	)
Respondents.	)

This matter is before the court with the Report and Recommendation of United States

Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local

Civil Rule 73.02 of the District of South Carolina. John Robert Demos, Jr. ("Demos") is a

pro se state prisoner seeking habeas corpus relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. In her Report

and Recommendation, Magistrate Judge Hendricks recommends dismissing Demos' petition

without prejudice and without service upon the Respondents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility for making a final determination remains with the United States District Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made. The court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the magistrate judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (2006).

Demos filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. Objections to the Report and

Recommendation must be specific. Failure to file specific objections constitutes a waiver of a

party's right to further judicial review, including appellate review, if the recommendation is

accepted by the district judge. See United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91, 94 & n.4 (4th Cir.

1984). In the absence of specific objections to the Report and Recommendation of the magistrate

judge, this court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See

Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

Upon review, the court finds that Demos' objections are non-specific, unrelated to the

dispositive portions of the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation, or merely restate his

claims. Therefore, after a thorough review of the magistrate judge's Report and the record in this

case, the court adopts Magistrate Judge Hendricks' Report and Recommendation.

It is therefore

**ORDERED** that Demos' petition is dismissed without prejudice and without service

upon the Respondents. It is further

**ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is denied because Demos has failed to make

"a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Henry M. Herlong, Jr.

Senior United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina

May 18, 2010

2

## NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Th	e Petitioner is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this ord	ler within thirty
(30) days	from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules	of Appellate
Procedure		